

4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

5. Plaintiff is a “person” as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. §153(39).

7. Defendant is a “person” as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

8. Defendant is a corporation with its principal place of business located at P.O. Box 961245, Fort Worth, TX 76161.

9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

10. Plaintiff has a cellular telephone number that she has had for over one year.

12. Beginning in August 2014, Defendant placed repeated telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

13. When contacting Plaintiff on her cellular telephone, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system and/or pre-recorded voice.

14. Plaintiff knew Defendant was utilizing an automatic telephone dialing system because she would be put on hold before the calls would be transferred to Defendant's representatives.

15. Defendant's telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes;" rather, Defendant was attempting to speak to an unknown third party named Hakeem Rose

1 16. In August 2014, Plaintiff spoke to Defendant and informed them that they had the
2 wrong phone number and to stop calling her regarding the unknown third party.

3 17. Defendant heard and acknowledged Plaintiff's request by responding that they
4 "wouldn't call anymore."

5 18. Once Defendant was told the calls were unwanted and to stop, there was no lawful
6 purpose to making further calls, nor was there any good faith reason to place calls.

7 19. Defendant continued to place two (2) to three (3) calls to Plaintiff's cellular
8 telephone per day after August 2014.

9 20. Plaintiff received automated voice messages regarding the unknown third party,
10 Hakeem Rose.

11 21. Defendant placed seventy-eight (78) calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone as of
12 June 3, 2015.

13 22. Plaintiff received over one hundred (100) calls since she told Defendant to stop
14 calling her in August 2014.

15 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant conducts business in a way which
16 violates the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.

17
18
19 **DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT**

20 24. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at
21 length herein.

22 25. Defendant initiated automated calls to Plaintiff using an automatic telephone
23 dialing system.

24 26. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency purposes.
25
26
27
28

1 27. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff, on and after August 2014, were not made with
2 Plaintiff's prior express consent.

3 28. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional,
4 willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the
5 purpose of harassing Plaintiff.

6 29. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully,
7 intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense,
8 legal justification or legal excuse.

9 30. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses
10 and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles
11 damages.
12

13
14 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, URSULA CLAY, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- 15 a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A);
16 b. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47
17 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
18 c. Treble damages of \$1,500.00 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47
19 U.S.C. §227(b)(3);
20 d. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3);
21 e. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, URSULA CLAY, demands a jury trial in this case.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO L.CIV.R.11.2

I hereby certify pursuant to Local Civil Rule 11.2 that this matter in controversy is not subject to any other action pending in any court, arbitration or administrative proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: April 19, 2016

By: /s/ Amy Lynn Bennecoff Ginsburg
Amy Lynn Bennecoff Ginsburg, Esq.
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
30 East Butler Pike
Ambler, PA 19002
Telephone: (215) 540-8888
Facsimile (215) 540-8817
Email: aginsburg@creditlaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff